

passt: An R implementation of the Probability Associator Time (PASS-T) model

Johannes Titz¹

¹ Department of Psychology, TU Chemnitz, Germany

DOI: [10.21105/joss.01900](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01900)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Olivia Guest](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@nicksexton](#)
- [@russellpierce](#)

Submitted: 05 November 2019

Published: 05 February 2020

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).

Summary

The *passt* package is an R implementation of the Probability ASSociator Time (PASS-T) model, an artificial neural network designed to explain how humans make judgments of frequency and duration (Titz & Sedlmeier, 2019). The package was developed with two purposes in mind: (1) to provide a simple way to reproduce simulation results in judgments of frequency and duration as described in Titz & Sedlmeier (2019), and (2) to explore the PASS-T model by allowing users to run their own individual simulations.

The general idea of the original PASS model (Sedlmeier, 1999, 2002) is that information about the frequency of events is naturally incorporated in artificial neural networks. If an object is presented repeatedly, the weights of the network change systematically. This way, the network is able to deduce the frequency of occurrence of events based on the final weights. Put simply, the artificial neural network produces a higher activation the more often a stimulus is presented.

As an extension of the PASS model, PASS-T is also able to process the presentation time of the stimuli. One can define how often and how long different objects are presented and test whether the network is able to make valid judgments of frequency and duration in retrospect. The principal aim of PASS-T is to explain empirical results that are found in studies with humans (Titz et al., 2019). Specifically, two empirical results are quite robust: (1) the correlation between exposure *frequency* and judgment is usually larger than between exposure *duration* and judgment (sometimes termed *frequency primacy*); and (2) the amount of attention that participants pay to the stimuli moderates effect sizes. These findings have been of some interest in cognitive psychology in the last decade (Betsch, Glauer, Renkewitz, Winkler, & Sedlmeier, 2010; Titz et al., 2019; Titz & Sedlmeier, 2019; Winkler, 2009; Winkler, Glauer, Betsch, & Sedlmeier, 2015), although its roots go back half a century (Cooper & Pantle, 1967; Hintzman, 1970; Hintzman, Summers, & Block, 1975) and touch upon different research areas such as memory, heuristics, and perception of magnitudes. PASS-T can be seen as a summary of some of this research, cast into a formal model. The R package *passt* is the first concrete implementation of PASS-T to make the model available to a wider audience.

References

- Betsch, T., Glauer, M., Renkewitz, F., Winkler, I., & Sedlmeier, P. (2010). Encoding, storage and judgment of experienced frequency and duration. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 5, 347–364.
- Cooper, E. H., & Pantle, A. J. (1967). The total-time hypothesis in verbal learning. *Psychological Bulletin*, 68, 221–234. doi:[10.1037/h0025052](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0025052)

- Hintzman, D. L. (1970). Effects of repetition and exposure duration on memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *83*, 435–444. doi:[10.1037/h0028865](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0028865)
- Hintzman, D. L., Summers, J. J., & Block, R. A. (1975). What causes the spacing effect? Some effects of repetition, duration, and spacing on memory for pictures. *Memory & Cognition*, *3*, 287–294. doi:[10.3758/BF03212913](https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03212913)
- Sedlmeier, P. (1999). *Improving statistical reasoning: Theoretical models and practical implications*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. doi:[10.4324/9781410601247](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410601247)
- Sedlmeier, P. (2002). Associative learning and frequency judgments: The PASS model. In P. Sedlmeier & T. Betsch (Eds.), *Frequency processing and cognition* (pp. 137–152). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. doi:[10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508632.003.0009](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508632.003.0009)
- Titz, J., Burkhardt, M., & Sedlmeier, P. (2019). *Asymmetries in judgments of frequency and duration: A meta-analysis*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Titz, J., & Sedlmeier, P. (2019). *Simulating asymmetries in judgments of frequency and duration: The PASS-T model*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Winkler, I. (2009). *The processing of frequency and duration* (Doctoral dissertation, Chemnitz University of Technology). Retrieved from <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:bsz:ch1-200900917>
- Winkler, I., Glauer, M., Betsch, T., & Sedlmeier, P. (2015). The impact of attention on judgments of frequency and duration. *PLoS ONE*, *10*, e0126974. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0126974](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126974)